### CUP4SOIL User requirements for a Copernicus Land Monitoring Service including soils

Fenny van Egmond<sup>2</sup>, Uta Heiden<sup>1</sup>, Thaïsa van der Woude<sup>2</sup>, Pablo Angelo<sup>1</sup>, Laura Poggio<sup>2</sup>, Paul Karlshoefer<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> DLR <sup>2</sup> ISRIC

ESA SYMPOSIUM ON EARTH OBSERVATION FOR SOIL PROTECTION AND RESTORATION 07.02.2024



#### Knowledge for Tomorrow



#### CUP4SOIL – Welcome and Introduction Introduction to the project - Objectives

Title:

High-resolution soil property service development for National and European soil carbon reporting

#### <u>Timeline:</u>

- Proposal submission in 2019, project approval in 2022
- 2-years project, start Jan 2023, ISRIC started in May 2023

Partner:

DLR and ISRIC

Funded by:

FPCUP - Framework Partnership Agreement on Copernicus User Uptake: <u>https://www.copernicus-user-uptake.eu/</u>

#### <u>Objectives</u>

- Prepare a potential Copernicus downstream service to support national and European agencies for reporting on **soil health/quality**.
- Generate European-wide <u>example</u> data products characterising soil health/quality
- Develop a user community that tests and validates data products for soil health/quality information
- Ensure close **cooperation** with the ESA WorldSoils project activities and other related projects/initiatives such as the EJP SOIL projects and others etc. ...



#### CUP4SOIL – Welcome and Introduction



### Introduction to the project – Expected results

European-wide EO data products and soil maps (20 m pixel size):

- Soil property maps (e.g. soil organic carbon, soil texture) and
- Information about soil and vegetation dynamics including quality indicators presented in a dedicated web page

#### Documents:

(1) User requirements document tailored to the need of Copernicus Users

(2) Key soil product description including robustness tests, product quality, feasibility for European-wide application

(3) Showcases (example downstream applications)

(4) Scientific and grey publications

(5) User survey collecting feedback of the community (User requirements)

#### Meeting and Workshops:

(1) Q4/2023 – Virtual meeting for discussing and consolidating User Requirements

7<sup>th</sup> December 2024 - online

 (2) Q1/2024 – First soil information products are presented, user requirements will be updated 6<sup>th</sup> – 7<sup>th</sup> March 2024 – during the ESA Symposium on EO for Soil Protection and Restoration
 (3) Q4/2024 – Final project workshop to assess key user feedback, recommendations and future directions TBD

#### **User Requirement study - First findings**




### Knowledge for Tomorrow

## CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User requirements

Iterative process:

- Reviewing existing projects and initiatives
- User requirement survey
- User requirement meeting
- Feedback from case study results






CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study



### User requirements from existing projects / initiatives



- EJP SOIL (SIREN, SERENA, Status of the World's Soil MINOTAUR, WP6, Resources (GSP) STEROPES) LANDMARK
- WorldSoils
- FFA level1
- LUCAS
- MARVIC, MRV4SOC
- ENVASSO
- EU Soil Monitoring Directive

- ISOaPer •
- RECARE •
- BENCHMARKS,
  - Al<sub>4</sub>SoilHealth
- PREPSOIL
- Etc.

### 

# CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study Shortlist ....

- When listed more than 4 times in the mentioned projects, the indicator is selected:
- <u>Simple properties</u>: Soil Organic Carbon (SOC), Soil Organic Matter (SOM), pH, Total Nitrogen (N), available Phosphorus (P), soil texture (clay, silt, sand), bulk density, Electrical Conductivity (EC), heavy metals (concentration)
- <u>Complex (derived) properties</u>: available water capacity, erosion, salinity, soil respiration, earthworms, soil biodiversity (can contain soil respiration and earthworms but not necessarily), soil sealing, soil contamination, compaction
- These 18 soil indicators have been evaluated against:
  - The NextSpace Copernicus User requirements for soil in 2019 (8/19)
  - EJP SOIL-SIREN (12/14)
  - Mission 'A Soil Deal for Europe' (8/13)
  - Proposed EU Soil Monitoring Directive (16/18)



## CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey - Development

- A survey was launched to understand more about the specifications of the spatial information
- 23 questions
- Sent out to people across Europe on soils and EO
- Ongoing until the ESA Symposium on EO for Soil
   Protection and Restoration (o6-o7 March 2024)
- Results presented here and in report
- Presentation of the status February/March 2024








## CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Requirement Meeting

- 2 hour workshop on 7 December 2023
- 148 registered participants 80 real participants




Mentimeter





# CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User survey

2. What best describes your organization?



83 responses so far

Partitioning across sectors is quite similar to the workshop participation partitioning

Majority of participants from Europe, also some from all other continents




#### 


### CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey - Workshop

What are the main topics your organisation is working on?

Agriculture	54
Soils	69
Biodiversity / Nature conserva	33
🔴 Climate Change	41
Land and water resources	48
Energy, raw materials	11
Earth Observation	38
Urban areas	9
Other	6




Same top four



## CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Workshop

Which soil-related spatial information would be helpful for your work (basic soil properties)?



Same prioritisation



Soil organic carbon/


matter

Texture (clay, silt, sand)

Bulk density

## CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Workshop


Which soil-related spatial information would be helpful for your work (derived/complex properties)?




Same top three




#### CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Familiarity with Copernicus







7. Have you used Copernicus in-situ data before?







#### CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Familiarity with Copernicus


8. Does soil health and/or soil quality fall into your area of expertise?






9. Are you missing soil-related information at the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service?






- 10. How would you rate the use(fulness) of future soil products under the Copernicus land monitoring service?
  - Very useful, regardless how th... 34
    Depends on the products 47
    I will not use it 2





## CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Resolution

What is your preferred spatial resolution you are working on (in pixel sizes)?




What accuracy level is still useful/required for your application given the specifications above?





Finer resolutions are always desirable, but what are the coarsest reslutions that would still work for your use? (with accuracy matching resolution)






## CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Resolution

17. Which scale is your organization working on?









# CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Update frequency


How regularly would you like to get updates on the soil service products?




14. For which purpose do you need the soil information?











CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study



### **User Survey – Update frequency**

Why do you need yearly or near real time updates? (eg. CAP, land management, MRV, national or EU regulations, other)


38 responses



## CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Uncertainty measure

What is the uncertainty measure you would expect for the soil property maps?





Same top three





### CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Access and data format

20. What would be your preferred data format?






#### 21. What would be your preferred access?












# CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey - Results

Which soil-related spatial information is not listed before?

```
exchangeable bases soil Infiltration Rate
     Nitrogen mineralization Drainage class soil fertility evolution
                       soil cover bearing capacity
                           Soil management pedodiversity
soil moisture capacity
             Agricultural index/quality value
                                                     Water table
                 smart farming Fertility depth base saturation
                                                    lithology
       infiltration capacity carbonates
    surface temperature Compaction Risk soil color
                                                biomass inputs to the soil
     soil classification soil dens
fertilization soil texture
                             soil density
                                       ity soil use Soil roughness
bulk density Land use
  Coarse fragments
                                    CEC 🖡
                  soil organisms activities
soil type stone content acid soil
                                                             Water ponding
         indirectSoil management Soil depthsoil mineralogy
          Soil horizon depths compacted layers stone fraction
             soil properties to depth (>30 cm) principally soil carbon
           Q/I parameters indirectplant indicators
                                      SOC sequestration
   Mineralogy Related to vegetation cover and vigor
                           Agricultural practices
                    soil depth to C horizon Carbon stocks
```

#### CUP4SOIL – User Requirement Study User Survey – Summary of the results

- Up to half of the answers are from the Research sector
- Copernicus products are well known and used (59/83), in situ products less (19/83), Land Monitoring Service is well known (61/83), but less recently used (41/83)
- 54 / 83 users are **missing** soil related information at the Copernicus service
- Most wanted **soil products** are:
  - o SOC, texture and bulk density, soil water holding capacity, erosion, soil compaction, pH, soil biodiversity
- Soil moisture, soil depth, carbonates, mineralogy
- Spatial patterns are useful but quantitative correct values are more important
- Majority needs information for monitoring yearly, but if not possible less often is still useful. Purposes are MRV, moitoring, CAP
- Spatial resolution winner is 10-20 m pixel size, but coarser pixel sizes are still useful
- Various ways of access to cloud-optimised geotiff's is desirable



ISPIC

## User requirements User stories

As an <actor>, I want to have/be able to <function>, so that I can/don't have to <business reason>.

> As a **paying agency officer**, I want to have soil erosion layer for **CAP compliance** at field level of 10 meter resolution.

As a **compan**y that gives a economic rewards to farmers who try to increase the **carbon content,** we want to be able to track changes in the soil carbon content to **reduce sampling costs**.

> As a **sustainable water management company**, I want to provide accurate water balance information at a parcel scale, so that farmers can manage water usage in a sustainable way for **irrigation**.

As a **government agency** we want to **evaluate** our **own soil** (property) **maps**. We are satisfied with a 50 m resolution (field scale).

The **resolution** is not so much the question, the question is how valid, how **accurate** is the model.

As an **ag-tech company**, I want to be able to use soil texture and SOM to generate **seeding maps**. So, a good data layer for the farmers. I'm satisfied when the accuracy is 0.5% (SOM) at 10 m.

As a **researcher**, I want to predict SOC to be used for providing maps and plans for farmers at **regional and national level** in cooperation with governments. I'm satisfied with 20 m resolution and 10% error





#### CUP4SOIL Thank you very much! Contact: uta.

Contact: <u>uta.heiden@dlr.de (DLR)</u> <u>fenny.vanegmond@wur.nl</u> (ISRIC) <u>Laura.poggio@wur.nl</u> (ISRIC)

